

ON A TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR THIRD-ORDER LINEAR FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. PART II.

ROBERT HAKL

Abstract. Efficient conditions sufficient for the solvability of the problem

$u'''(t) = g(t)u(\mu(t)) - p(t)u(\tau(t)) + q(t); \quad u(a) = c_1, \quad u'(a) = c_2, \quad u(b) = c_3$
are derived using the general results obtained in our recent paper [1]. Here, $p, g \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$, $q \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$, $\tau, \mu : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ are measurable functions, and $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Sign-constant solutions are discussed as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], we have obtained general results on the existence, uniqueness and positivity of a solution to the two-point boundary value problem

$$u'''(t) = \ell(u)(t) + q(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(a) = c_1, \quad u'(a) = c_2, \quad u(b) = c_3, \quad (1.2)$$

where $q \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), and $\ell : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ is a linear bounded operator. The present paper, which is the second part of [1], contains some nontrivial consequences of the general results of [1] for the equations with deviating arguments. The proofs essentially use the statements obtained in [1]. We refer to [1] for an overview of the topic and the related literature.

Here, we consider the problem (1.1), (1.2) with the operator ℓ having one of the following forms:

$$\ell(v)(t) = -p(t)v(\tau(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad v \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\ell(v)(t) = g(t)v(\mu(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad v \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}), \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\ell(v)(t) = g(t)v(\mu(t)) - p(t)v(\tau(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad v \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}), \quad (1.5)$$

where $p, g \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\tau, \mu : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ are measurable functions. By a solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2), we understand a function $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is absolutely continuous together with its first and second derivatives, satisfies the equality (1.1) almost everywhere in $[a, b]$, and (1.2) holds.

MSC (2010): primary 34K06, 34K10.

Keywords: linear functional differential equation, boundary value problem, positive solution.
The research was supported by RVO: 67985840.

The following notation is used throughout the paper:

\mathbb{R} is a set of all real numbers, $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty[$.

$C([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space of all continuous functions $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_C = \max \{|u(t)| : t \in [a, b]\}.$$

$\tilde{C}^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ is the set of all functions $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are absolutely continuous together with their first and second derivatives.

$\tilde{C}_{loc}^2(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$ is the set of all functions $u :]a, b[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u \in \tilde{C}^2([\alpha, \beta]; \mathbb{R})$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in]a, b[$, $\alpha < \beta$.

Let $u :]a, b[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and let there exist a finite or an infinite right, left, limit of u at the point a , b , respectively. Then we will write $u(a+)$, $u(b-)$, instead of $\lim_{t \rightarrow a+} u(t)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow b-} u(t)$, respectively.

$\tilde{C}_0(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$ is a set of all functions $u \in \tilde{C}_{loc}^2(]a, b[; \mathbb{R}) \cap C([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ such that there exist finite or infinite limits $u'(a+)$ and $u'(b-)$.

$L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space of all Lebesgue integrable functions $p : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|p\|_L = \int_a^b |p(s)| \, ds.$$

$L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^+) = \{p \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}) : p(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b]\}.$

\mathcal{L}_{ab} is a set of all linear bounded operators $\ell : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$.

For convenience we recall the definitions introduced in [1].

Definition 1.1. An operator $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}$ is said to belong to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$ if every function $u \in \tilde{C}^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) &\leq \ell(u)(t) && \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \\ u(a) &\geq 0, && u'(a) \geq 0, && u(b) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

admits the inequality

$$u(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \tag{1.7}$$

Definition 1.2. An operator $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}$ is said to belong to the set $\mathcal{V}_0([a, b])$ if every function $u \in \tilde{C}^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (1.6) and

$$u(a) = 0, \quad u'(a) \geq 0, \quad u(b) = 0$$

admits the inequality (1.7).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let*

$$(b - \tau(t))(\tau(t) - a) \int_a^{\tau(t)} (b - s)(s - a)p(s) \, ds - \frac{(b - \tau(t))^2}{2} \int_a^{\tau(t)} (s - a)^2 p(s) \, ds + \frac{(\tau(t) - a)^2}{2} \int_{\tau(t)}^b (b - s)^2 p(s) \, ds < (b - a)^2 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.3) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let*

$$\int_a^b p(s) \, ds \leq \frac{16}{(b - a)^2}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.3) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let*

$$\left(\frac{b - \tau(t)}{b - t}\right)^{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \left(\frac{\tau(t) - a}{t - a}\right)^{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} p(t) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3}(b - a)^3}{9(b - t)^3(t - a)^3} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \tag{2.3}$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.3) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let there exist $c \in [a, b]$ and $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\nu_i \in [0, 1[$, ($i, j = 1, 2$) such that*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s^2 + \lambda_{11}s + \lambda_{12}} \geq \frac{(c - a)^{1 - \nu_1}}{1 - \nu_1}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s^2 + \lambda_{21}s + \lambda_{22}} \geq \frac{(b - c)^{1 - \nu_2}}{1 - \nu_2}, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$-p(t) \frac{(t - a)^2}{2} + p(t)\sigma(t) \frac{(\tau(t) - t)^2}{2} \leq \frac{\nu_1}{t - a} + \frac{\lambda_{11}}{(t - a)^{\nu_1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, c], \tag{2.6}$$

$$p(t)(t - a) + p(t)\sigma(t)(\tau(t) - t) \leq \frac{\lambda_{12}}{(t - a)^{2\nu_1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, c], \tag{2.7}$$

$$p(t) \frac{(t - a)^2}{2} - p(t)\sigma(t) \frac{(\tau(t) - t)^2}{2} \leq \frac{\nu_2}{b - t} + \frac{\lambda_{21}}{(b - t)^{\nu_2}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [c, b], \tag{2.8}$$

$$p(t)(t - a) + p(t)\sigma(t)(\tau(t) - t) \leq \frac{\lambda_{22}}{(b - t)^{2\nu_2}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [c, b], \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \operatorname{sgn}(\tau(t) - t)).$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.3) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$\int_a^b (b-s)(s-a)g(s) \, ds \leq 2. \quad (2.10)$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.4) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$\int_a^b g(s) \, ds \leq \frac{8}{(b-a)^2}.$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.4) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$\left(\frac{b-\mu(t)}{b-t}\right)^{1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \left(\frac{\mu(t)-a+\omega}{t-a+\omega}\right)^{1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} g(t) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3}(b-a+\omega)^3}{9(b-t)^3(t-a+\omega)^3} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b] \quad (2.11)$$

with $\omega = \frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{3+\sqrt{3}}(b-a)$. Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.4) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$. Let, moreover, there exist $c \in [a, b]$ and $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\nu_i \in [0, 1[$, $(i, j = 1, 2)$ such that (2.4), (2.5) hold and

$$g(t) \frac{(b-t)^2}{2} - g(t) \frac{(t-\mu(t))^2}{2} \leq \frac{\nu_1}{t-a} + \frac{\lambda_{11}}{(t-a)^{\nu_1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, c], \quad (2.12)$$

$$g(t)(b-t) + g(t)(t-\mu(t)) \leq \frac{\lambda_{12}}{(t-a)^{2\nu_1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, c], \quad (2.13)$$

$$-g(t) \frac{(b-t)^2}{2} + g(t) \frac{(t-\mu(t))^2}{2} \leq \frac{\nu_2}{b-t} + \frac{\lambda_{21}}{(b-t)^{\nu_2}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [c, b], \quad (2.14)$$

$$g(t)(b-t) + g(t)(t-\mu(t)) \leq \frac{\lambda_{22}}{(b-t)^{2\nu_2}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [c, b]. \quad (2.15)$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.4) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}([a, b])$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (b-\mu(t))(\mu(t)-a) \int_{\mu(t)}^b (b-s)(s-a)g(s) \, ds - \frac{(\mu(t)-a)^2}{2} \int_{\mu(t)}^b (b-s)^2g(s) \, ds \\ & + \frac{(b-\mu(t))^2}{2} \int_a^{\mu(t)} (s-a)^2g(s) \, ds \leq (b-a)^2 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.4) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}_0([a, b])$.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and*

$$\int_a^b g(s) \, ds \leq \frac{16}{(b-a)^2}. \tag{2.17}$$

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.4) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}_0([a, b])$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and*

$$\left(\frac{b-\mu(t)}{b-t}\right)^{1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \left(\frac{\mu(t)-a}{t-a}\right)^{1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} g(t) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3}(b-a)^3}{9(b-t)^3(t-a)^3}$$

for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$. (2.18)

Then the operator ℓ defined by (1.4) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}_0([a, b])$.

The results listed below immediately follow from [1, Theorems 2.10–2.13], Theorems 2.1–2.11, and Corollaries 2.2–2.10.

Theorem 2.12. *Let functions p, τ satisfy the assumptions of at least one of Theorems 2.1–2.4 or Corollary 2.2 and let functions g, μ satisfy the assumptions of at least one of Theorems 2.5–2.8 or Corollary 2.6. Then the problem (1.1), (1.2) with ℓ defined by (1.5) has a unique solution u . If, in addition,*

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &\leq 0 && \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \\ c_i &\geq 0 && (i = 1, 2, 3), \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\|q\|_L + \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i > 0,$$

then

$$u(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[. \tag{2.20}$$

Theorem 2.13. *Let functions p, τ satisfy the assumptions of at least one of Theorems 2.1–2.4 or Corollary 2.2 and let functions g, μ satisfy the assumptions of either Theorem 2.9 or Theorem 2.11 or Corollary 2.10. Then the problem (1.1), (1.2) with ℓ defined by (1.5) has a unique solution u . If, in addition, (2.19) holds and*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= 0, & c_2 &\geq 0, & c_3 &= 0, \\ \|q\|_L + c_2 &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

then (2.20) holds.

Theorem 2.14. *Let functions p, τ satisfy the assumptions of at least one of Theorems 2.1–2.4 or Corollary 2.2 and let functions g, μ satisfy at least one of the following items:*

(i) $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$\int_a^b g(s) \, ds \leq \frac{32}{(b-a)^2};$$

(ii) $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$(b - \mu(t))(\mu(t) - a) \int_{\mu(t)}^b (b - s)(s - a)g(s) \, ds - \frac{(\mu(t) - a)^2}{2} \int_{\mu(t)}^b (b - s)^2 g(s) \, ds + \frac{(b - \mu(t))^2}{2} \int_a^{\mu(t)} (s - a)^2 g(s) \, ds \leq 2(b - a)^2 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b];$$

(iii) $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$\left(\frac{b - \mu(t)}{b - t}\right)^{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \left(\frac{\mu(t) - a}{t - a}\right)^{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} g(t) \leq \frac{4\sqrt{3}(b - a)^3}{9(b - t)^3(t - a)^3} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b];$$

(iv) $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and there exist $c \in [a, b]$ and $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\nu_i \in [0, 1[$, ($i, j = 1, 2$) such that (2.4), (2.5) hold and

$$g(t) \frac{(b - t)^2}{2} - g(t) \frac{(t - \mu(t))^2}{2} \leq \frac{2\nu_1}{t - a} + \frac{2\lambda_{11}}{(t - a)^{\nu_1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, c],$$

$$g(t)(b - t) + g(t)(t - \mu(t)) \leq \frac{2\lambda_{12}}{(t - a)^{2\nu_1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, c],$$

$$-g(t) \frac{(b - t)^2}{2} + g(t) \frac{(t - \mu(t))^2}{2} \leq \frac{2\nu_2}{b - t} + \frac{2\lambda_{21}}{(b - t)^{\nu_2}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [c, b],$$

$$g(t)(b - t) + g(t)(t - \mu(t)) \leq \frac{2\lambda_{22}}{(b - t)^{2\nu_2}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [c, b].$$

Then the problem (1.1), (1.2) with ℓ defined by (1.5) is uniquely solvable.

Theorem 2.15. *Let functions p, τ satisfy the assumptions of at least one of Theorems 2.1–2.4 or Corollary 2.2. Let, moreover, $\tau(t) \leq t$ and $\mu(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$. Then the problem (1.1), (1.2) with ℓ defined by (1.5) is uniquely solvable.*

3. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If $p \equiv 0$, then the conclusion of theorem follows from [1, Remark 2.3]. Therefore, we can assume that

$$\int_a^b p(s) \, ds > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Put

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{1}{(b - a)^2} \left((b - t)(t - a) \int_a^t (b - s)(s - a)p(s) \, ds - \frac{(b - t)^2}{2} \int_a^t (s - a)^2 p(s) \, ds + \frac{(t - a)^2}{2} \int_t^b (b - s)^2 p(s) \, ds \right) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.2}$$

We will show that γ satisfies the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.1] with ℓ defined by (1.3). It can be easily verified that

$$\gamma'''(t) = -p(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \tag{3.3}$$

$$\gamma(a) = 0, \quad \gamma'(a) = 0, \quad \gamma(b) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, according to [1, Remark 2.3, Theorem 2.10] and the inequality (3.1), we have

$$\gamma(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[. \tag{3.5}$$

Furthermore, (2.1) and (3.2) imply

$$\gamma(\tau(t)) < 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \tag{3.6}$$

which, when used in (3.3), yields

$$\gamma'''(t) \leq -p(t)\gamma(\tau(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \tag{3.7}$$

$$\text{meas} \{t \in [a, b] : \gamma'''(t) < -p(t)\gamma(\tau(t))\} > 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Finally, $\gamma \in \tilde{C}_0(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$ and (3.4), (3.5), (3.7), and (3.8) imply that all the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.1] are fulfilled. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.2. If $p \equiv 0$, then the conclusion of the corollary follows from [1, Remark 2.3]. Therefore, assume that (3.1) holds. It is sufficient to show that (2.1) is fulfilled. For this purpose, we will estimate the maximum value of the function γ defined by (3.2). Obviously, (3.3)–(3.5) hold. In view of (3.4) and (3.5), there exists $t_0 \in]a, b[$ such that

$$\gamma(t_0) = \max \{ \gamma(t) : t \in [a, b] \}. \tag{3.9}$$

Consequently, $\gamma'(t_0) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b - 2t_0) \int_a^{t_0} (b - s)(s - a)p(s) \, ds + (b - t_0) \int_a^{t_0} (s - a)^2 p(s) \, ds \\ & + (t_0 - a) \int_{t_0}^b (b - s)^2 p(s) \, ds = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (t_0 - a) \int_a^{t_0} (b - s)(s - a)p(s) \, ds - (b - t_0) \int_a^{t_0} (s - a)^2 p(s) \, ds \\ & = (t_0 - a) \int_{t_0}^b (b - s)^2 p(s) \, ds + (b - t_0) \int_a^{t_0} (b - s)(s - a)p(s) \, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t_0) &= \frac{t_0 - a}{2(b - a)^2} \left((t_0 - a) \int_{t_0}^b (b - s)^2 p(s) \, ds + (b - t_0) \int_a^{t_0} (b - s)(s - a) p(s) \, ds \right) \\ &+ \frac{b - t_0}{2(b - a)^2} \left((t_0 - a) \int_a^{t_0} (b - s)(s - a) p(s) \, ds - (b - t_0) \int_a^{t_0} (s - a)^2 p(s) \, ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now using (3.11) in the latter equality, we obtain

$$\gamma(t_0) = \frac{t_0 - a}{2(b - a)} \int_{t_0}^b (b - s)^2 p(s) \, ds + \frac{b - t_0}{2(b - a)} \int_a^{t_0} (b - s)(s - a) p(s) \, ds,$$

whence, on account of the relation $4AB \leq (A + B)^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t_0) &\leq \frac{(b - t_0)^2(t_0 - a)}{2(b - a)} \int_{t_0}^b p(s) \, ds + \frac{(b - a)(b - t_0)}{8} \int_a^{t_0} p(s) \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{(b - a)(b - t_0)}{8} \int_a^b p(s) \, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, the equality (3.10) yields

$$a + b - 2t_0 < 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad t_0 > \frac{a + b}{2}. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, the inequality (3.12) with respect to (2.2), (3.1), and (3.13) results in

$$\gamma(t_0) < \frac{(b - a)^2}{16} \int_a^b p(s) \, ds \leq 1. \tag{3.14}$$

Now in view of (3.9), we have (3.6), whence, on account of (3.2), we get (2.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Put

$$\gamma(t) = (b - t)^{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} (t - a)^{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.15}$$

Obviously, $\gamma \in \tilde{C}_0(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$, $\gamma(t) > 0$ for $t \in]a, b[$,

$$\gamma(a) = 0, \quad \gamma'(a) = 0, \quad \gamma(b) = 0,$$

and

$$\gamma'''(t) = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}(b - a)^3}{9(b - t)^3(t - a)^3} (b - t)^{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} (t - a)^{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Using (2.3) in the latter equality, in view of (3.15), we get

$$\gamma'''(t) \leq -p(t)\gamma(\tau(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Moreover, (3.8) holds because $p(\cdot)\gamma(\tau(\cdot)) \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma''' \notin L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$. Thus, all the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.1] are fulfilled. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Assume $c \in]a, b[$; the cases $c = a$ and $c = b$ can be proved analogously. Without loss of generality we can assume that (2.4) and (2.5) are fulfilled as equalities. Define functions ρ_i ($i = 1, 2$) as follows:

$$\int_{\rho_1(t)}^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s^2 + \lambda_{11}s + \lambda_{12}} = \frac{(t-a)^{1-\nu_1}}{1-\nu_1} \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c], \quad (3.16)$$

$$\int_{\rho_2(t)}^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s^2 + \lambda_{21}s + \lambda_{22}} = \frac{(b-t)^{1-\nu_2}}{1-\nu_2} \quad \text{for } t \in [c, b[. \quad (3.17)$$

Then

$$\rho_1(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c[, \quad \rho_2(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]c, b[, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\rho_i(c) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow a+} \rho_1(t) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow b-} \rho_2(t) = +\infty, \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\rho_1'(t) = -(t-a)^{-\nu_1} (\rho_1^2(t) + \lambda_{11}\rho_1(t) + \lambda_{12}) \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c[, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\rho_2'(t) = (b-t)^{-\nu_2} (\rho_2^2(t) + \lambda_{21}\rho_2(t) + \lambda_{22}) \quad \text{for } t \in [c, b[. \quad (3.21)$$

Put

$$z(t) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\int_t^c (s-a)^{-\nu_1} \rho_1(s) ds\right) & \text{for } t \in]a, c[\\ \exp\left(-\int_c^t (b-s)^{-\nu_2} \rho_2(s) ds\right) & \text{for } t \in [c, b[\end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$\gamma(t) = \int_a^t z(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.23)$$

We will show that γ satisfies the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.1]. Obviously, $\gamma \in \tilde{C}_0(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\gamma(a) = 0, \quad \gamma(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b]. \quad (3.24)$$

Moreover, in view of (3.19) and (3.22), we have

$$\gamma'(a+) = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Furthermore, (3.22) and (3.23) yield

$$\gamma''(t) = \begin{cases} (t-a)^{-\nu_1} \rho_1(t) \gamma'(t) & \text{for } t \in]a, c[, \\ -(b-t)^{-\nu_2} \rho_2(t) \gamma'(t) & \text{for } t \in [c, b[. \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

Obviously,

$$\gamma'(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[\quad (3.27)$$

and, in view of (3.18), we have

$$\gamma''(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c[, \quad \gamma''(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [c, b[. \quad (3.28)$$

Finally, with respect to (3.20) or (3.21), from (3.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'''(t) &= -\nu_1(t-a)^{-\nu_1-1}\rho_1(t)\gamma'(t) - (t-a)^{-2\nu_1}\lambda_{11}\rho_1(t)\gamma'(t) \\ &\quad - (t-a)^{-2\nu_1}\lambda_{12}\gamma'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c], \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'''(t) &= -\nu_2(b-t)^{-\nu_2-1}\rho_2(t)\gamma'(t) - (b-t)^{-2\nu_2}\lambda_{21}\rho_2(t)\gamma'(t) \\ &\quad - (b-t)^{-2\nu_2}\lambda_{22}\gamma'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]c, b[, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

respectively. Now using (3.26) in (3.29) and (3.30), we get

$$\gamma'''(t) = -\left(\frac{\nu_1}{t-a} + \frac{\lambda_{11}}{(t-a)^{\nu_1}}\right)\gamma''(t) - \frac{\lambda_{12}}{(t-a)^{2\nu_1}}\gamma'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c], \quad (3.31)$$

$$\gamma'''(t) = \left(\frac{\nu_2}{b-t} + \frac{\lambda_{21}}{(b-t)^{\nu_2}}\right)\gamma''(t) - \frac{\lambda_{22}}{(b-t)^{2\nu_2}}\gamma'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]c, b[. \quad (3.32)$$

Note that, on account of (3.27) and (3.28), we have $\gamma'''(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in]a, b[$ and, consequently, γ'' is a nonincreasing function. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) &= \int_a^t \gamma'(s) \, ds = (t-a)\gamma'(t) - \int_a^t (s-a)\gamma''(s) \, ds \\ &\leq (t-a)\gamma'(t) - \frac{(t-a)^2}{2}\gamma''(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[\end{aligned}$$

and thus (3.31), or (3.32), results in

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'''(t) &\leq -\left(\frac{\nu_1}{t-a} + \frac{\lambda_{11}}{(t-a)^{\nu_1}} + p(t)\frac{(t-a)^2}{2}\right)\gamma''(t) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda_{12}}{(t-a)^{2\nu_1}} - p(t)(t-a)\right)\gamma'(t) - p(t)\gamma(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in]a, c], \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'''(t) &\leq \left(\frac{\nu_2}{b-t} + \frac{\lambda_{21}}{(b-t)^{\nu_2}} - p(t)\frac{(t-a)^2}{2}\right)\gamma''(t) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda_{22}}{(b-t)^{2\nu_2}} - p(t)(t-a)\right)\gamma'(t) - p(t)\gamma(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in]c, b[, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. In view of (2.6)–(2.9), (3.27), and (3.28), the latter two inequalities yield

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'''(t) &\leq -p(t)\sigma(t)\frac{(\tau(t)-t)^2}{2}\gamma''(t) - p(t)\sigma(t)(\tau(t)-t)\gamma'(t) - p(t)\gamma(t) \\ &\quad \text{for a.e. } t \in]a, b[. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

On the other hand, in view of (3.27),

$$\int_t^{\tau(t)} \gamma'(s) \, ds \leq 0 \quad \text{if } \tau(t) \leq t \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$\int_t^{\tau(t)} \gamma'(s) ds = (\tau(t) - t)\gamma'(t) + \int_t^{\tau(t)} (\tau(t) - s)\gamma''(s) ds \tag{3.35}$$

$$\leq (\tau(t) - t)\gamma'(t) + \frac{(\tau(t) - t)^2}{2}\gamma''(t) \quad \text{if } \tau(t) > t.$$

Thus, from (3.34) and (3.35), we have

$$\int_t^{\tau(t)} \gamma'(s) ds \leq \sigma(t)(\tau(t) - t)\gamma'(t) + \sigma(t)\frac{(\tau(t) - t)^2}{2}\gamma''(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.36}$$

Now using (3.36) in (3.33), we obtain

$$\gamma'''(t) \leq -p(t) \int_t^{\tau(t)} \gamma'(s) ds - p(t)\gamma(t) = -p(t)\gamma(\tau(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.37}$$

Consequently, (3.22)–(3.25), and (3.37) imply that all the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.1] are fulfilled. □

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Put

$$\beta(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \left((b-t)(t-a) \int_a^t (b-s)(s-a)g(s) ds + (t-a)^2 - \frac{(b-t)^2}{2} \int_a^t (s-a)^2 g(s) ds + \frac{(t-a)^2}{2} \int_t^b (b-s)^2 g(s) ds \right) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b].$$

We will show that the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.4] are fulfilled. Obviously, $\beta \in \tilde{C}_0([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$,

$$\beta(a) = 1, \quad \beta'(a) = 0, \quad \beta(b) = 0, \tag{3.38}$$

and it can be easily verified that

$$\beta'(b) = \frac{1}{b-a} \left(\int_a^b (b-s)(s-a)g(s) ds - 2 \right), \tag{3.39}$$

$$\beta'''(t) = g(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.40}$$

From (3.38)–(3.40), in view of (2.10), it follows that

$$\beta'(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.41}$$

Further, put

$$\gamma(t) = \beta(a + b - t) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.42}$$

Then, on account of (3.38), (3.40), and (3.41), we have

$$\gamma'''(t) = -g(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b],$$

$$\gamma(a) = 0, \quad \gamma'(a) \geq 0, \quad \gamma(b) = 1,$$

whence, according to [1, Remark 2.3, Theorem 2.10], it follows that

$$\gamma(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[.$$

However, the latter inequality together with (3.38) and (3.42) results in

$$\beta(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.43)$$

Finally, in view of (3.38) and (3.41), we have

$$\beta(\mu(t)) \leq 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad (3.44)$$

which, together with (3.40), results in

$$\beta'''(t) \geq g(t)\beta(\mu(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.45)$$

Consequently, (3.41), (3.43), and (3.45) imply that all the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.4] are fulfilled. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.6. It immediately follows from Theorem 2.5 because

$$\int_a^b (b-s)(s-a)g(s) \, ds \leq \frac{(b-a)^2}{4} \int_a^b g(s) \, ds.$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Put

$$\beta(t) = (b-t)^{1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}}(t-a+\omega)^{1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.46)$$

Then, obviously, $\beta \in \tilde{C}_0(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$, (3.43) holds,

$$\beta'(a) = 0, \quad \beta'(b) = 0, \quad (3.47)$$

and

$$\beta'''(t) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}(b-a+\omega)^3}{9(b-t)^3(t-a+\omega)^3}(b-t)^{1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}}(t-a+\omega)^{1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[. \quad (3.48)$$

From (3.47) and (3.48), it follows that (3.41) holds. Moreover, using (2.11) in (3.48), on account of (3.46), we get (3.45). Thus, all the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.4] are fulfilled. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Assume $c \in]a, b[$; the cases $c = a$ and $c = b$ can be proved analogously. Without loss of generality we can assume that (2.4) and (2.5) are fulfilled as equalities. Define functions ρ_i ($i = 1, 2$) by (3.16) and (3.17), respectively. Then (3.18)–(3.21) hold. Define z by (3.22) and put

$$\beta(t) = \int_t^b z(s) \, ds \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.49)$$

We will show that β satisfies the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.4]. Obviously, $\beta \in \tilde{C}_0(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\beta(b) = 0, \quad \beta(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.50)$$

Moreover, in view of (3.22), we have

$$\beta'(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[. \quad (3.51)$$

Furthermore, (3.22) and (3.49) yield

$$\beta''(t) = \begin{cases} (t-a)^{-\nu_1} \rho_1(t) \beta'(t) & \text{for } t \in]a, c[, \\ -(b-t)^{-\nu_2} \rho_2(t) \beta'(t) & \text{for } t \in]c, b[. \end{cases} \quad (3.52)$$

In view of (3.18) and (3.51), we have

$$\beta''(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c[, \quad \beta''(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]c, b[. \quad (3.53)$$

Finally, with respect to (3.20), or (3.21), from (3.52) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'''(t) &= -\nu_1(t-a)^{-\nu_1-1} \rho_1(t) \beta'(t) - (t-a)^{-2\nu_1} \lambda_{11} \rho_1(t) \beta'(t) \\ &\quad - (t-a)^{-2\nu_1} \lambda_{12} \beta'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c[, \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'''(t) &= -\nu_2(b-t)^{-\nu_2-1} \rho_2(t) \beta'(t) - (b-t)^{-2\nu_2} \lambda_{21} \rho_2(t) \beta'(t) \\ &\quad - (b-t)^{-2\nu_2} \lambda_{22} \beta'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]c, b[, \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

respectively. Now using (3.52) in (3.54) and (3.55), we get

$$\beta'''(t) = - \left(\frac{\nu_1}{t-a} + \frac{\lambda_{11}}{(t-a)^{\nu_1}} \right) \beta''(t) - \frac{\lambda_{12}}{(t-a)^{2\nu_1}} \beta'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]a, c[, \quad (3.56)$$

$$\beta'''(t) = \left(\frac{\nu_2}{b-t} + \frac{\lambda_{21}}{(b-t)^{\nu_2}} \right) \beta''(t) - \frac{\lambda_{22}}{(b-t)^{2\nu_2}} \beta'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]c, b[. \quad (3.57)$$

Note that, on account of (3.51) and (3.53), we have $\beta'''(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in]a, b[$ and, consequently, β'' is a nondecreasing function. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) &= - \int_t^b \beta'(s) \, ds = -(b-t) \beta'(t) - \int_t^b (b-s) \beta''(s) \, ds \\ &\leq -(b-t) \beta'(t) - \frac{(b-t)^2}{2} \beta''(t) \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[, \end{aligned}$$

and thus, (3.56) or (3.57) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'''(t) &\geq - \left(\frac{\nu_1}{t-a} + \frac{\lambda_{11}}{(t-a)^{\nu_1}} - g(t) \frac{(b-t)^2}{2} \right) \beta''(t) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda_{12}}{(t-a)^{2\nu_1}} - g(t)(b-t) \right) \beta'(t) + g(t) \beta(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in]a, c[, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'''(t) &\geq \left(\frac{\nu_2}{b-t} + \frac{\lambda_{21}}{(b-t)^{\nu_2}} + g(t) \frac{(b-t)^2}{2} \right) \beta''(t) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda_{22}}{(b-t)^{2\nu_2}} - g(t)(b-t) \right) \beta'(t) + g(t) \beta(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in]c, b[, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. In view of (2.12)–(2.15), (3.51), and (3.53), the latter two inequalities yield

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'''(t) &\geq g(t) \frac{(t-\mu(t))^2}{2} \beta''(t) - g(t)(t-\mu(t)) \beta'(t) + g(t) \beta(t) \\ &\quad \text{for a.e. } t \in]a, b[. \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mu(t)}^t \beta'(s) \, ds &= (t - \mu(t))\beta'(t) - \int_{\mu(t)}^t (s - \mu(t))\beta''(s) \, ds \\ &\geq (t - \mu(t))\beta'(t) - \frac{(t - \mu(t))^2}{2}\beta''(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

Now using (3.59) in (3.58), we obtain

$$\beta'''(t) \geq -g(t) \int_{\mu(t)}^t \beta'(s) \, ds + g(t)\beta(t) = g(t)\beta(\mu(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.60)$$

Consequently, (3.50), (3.51), and (3.60) imply that all the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.4] are fulfilled. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.9. If $g \equiv 0$, then the conclusion of theorem follows from [1, Remarks 1.4 and 2.3]. Therefore, we assume that

$$\int_a^b g(s) \, ds > 0. \quad (3.61)$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) &= \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \left((b-t)(t-a) \int_t^b (b-s)(s-a)g(s) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(t-a)^2}{2} \int_t^b (b-s)^2 g(s) \, ds + \frac{(b-t)^2}{2} \int_a^t (s-a)^2 g(s) \, ds \right) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

We will show that β satisfies the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.5] with ℓ defined by (1.4). It can be easily verified that

$$\beta'''(t) = g(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad (3.63)$$

$$\beta(a) = 0, \quad \beta'(b) = 0, \quad \beta(b) = 0. \quad (3.64)$$

Defining

$$\gamma(t) = \beta(a + b - t) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b],$$

from (3.63) and (3.64) we obtain

$$\gamma'''(t) = -g(a + b - t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b],$$

$$\gamma(a) = 0, \quad \gamma'(a) = 0, \quad \gamma(b) = 0.$$

Therefore, according to [1, Remark 2.3, Theorem 2.10] and the inequality (3.61), we have $\gamma(t) > 0$ for $t \in]a, b[$ and, consequently,

$$\beta(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in]a, b[. \quad (3.65)$$

Furthermore, (2.16) and (3.62) imply (3.44), which, when used in (3.63), yields (3.45). Finally, $\beta \in \tilde{C}_0(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$ and (3.45), (3.64), and (3.65) imply that all the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.5] are fulfilled. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.10. Define β by (3.62) and put

$$\gamma(t) = \beta(a + b - t) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.66)$$

Then γ satisfies (3.2) with

$$p(t) = g(a + b - t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.67)$$

Analogously to the proof of Corollary 2.2, in view of (2.17) and (3.67), it can be easily verified that (3.14) holds where $t_0 \in]a, b[$ is such that (3.9) is satisfied. Thus, in view of (3.66), we have (3.44) and, consequently, (2.16) is fulfilled. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.11. Put

$$\beta(t) = (b - t)^{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} (t - a)^{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.68)$$

Obviously, $\beta \in \tilde{C}_0(]a, b[; \mathbb{R})$, $\beta(t) > 0$ for $t \in]a, b[$,

$$\beta(a) = 0, \quad \beta'(b) = 0, \quad \beta(b) = 0,$$

and

$$\beta'''(t) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}(b - a)^3}{9(b - t)^3(t - a)^3} (b - t)^{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} (t - a)^{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Using (2.18) in the latter equality, in view of (3.68), we get

$$\beta'''(t) \geq g(t)\beta(\mu(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Thus, all the assumptions of [1, Theorem 2.5] are fulfilled. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Hakl, *On a two-point boundary value problem for third-order linear functional differential equations. Part I*, Math. Appl. **1** (2012), 57–78.

Robert Hakl, Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Žitkova 22, 616 62 Brno, Czech Republic,
e-mail: hakl@ipm.cz

